

UNITED STATES FAIENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,127	27 12/29/1999 NOBUHIKO NAK		NOBUHIKO NAKA	9683/58	4707
757	7590	06/02/2003			
BRINKS	HOFER G	ILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611			HAN, QI		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER ,
				2654	12
				DATE MAILED: 06/02/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>i</i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/462,127	NAKA, NOBUHIKO			
7.dv.00.7 7.0.00.7	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Qi Han	2654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPENDENCE, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appendix Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment white 	cation. A proper reply to a chaptaces the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $igtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing da					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mails IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 Confextension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for replications after the mails of the shortened statutory period for replications after the mails.	ng date of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension to the fee. The appropriate extension by originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: §		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or l vould be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered and an low or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
_	Marsha D Bank-Har				
	Marsha D. Banks-Harol Supervisory patent exam	_D			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive, based on arguments submitted in the final (see claim rejections in the final office action and the referenced prior arts).